

D/L31.
August
18, 2021
Bpg.

C.R.R. No.760 of 2018
IA No. CRAN 3 of 2020 (Old CRAN 1107 of 2020)
& CRAN 4 of 2021
(Via Video Conference)

Shamim Akhtar
Versus
The State of West Bengal and Anr.

Mr. Syed Nurul Arefin,
Mr. Rahul Singh.
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Rana Mukherjee,
Ms. Sujata Das,
Ms. Debjani Sahu.
...for the State.

Mr. Goutam Dinda,
Mr. Anindya Sundar Chatterjee.
...for the K.M.C.

An application for restoration has been filed being CRAN 4 of 2021. The reasons so assigned are on personal grounds of the learned lawyer. Reasons are found to be sufficient. As such, the revisional application is restored to its original file and number.

Accordingly, CRAN 4 of 2021 is allowed.

Report submitted by Mr. Rana Mukherjee, learned advocate appearing for the State be kept with the record.

Mr. Arefin, learned advocate, appears for the petitioner.

Mr. Goutam Dinda and Mr. Anindya Sundar Chatterjee, learned advocates, appear on behalf of the K.M.C.

The main contention of the petitioner was that before the appeal court the petitioner did not have any audience.

With consent of all the parties, the matter is fixed for further hearing on 2nd September, 2021.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)