

21.01.2021
D/L-12,
Ct-1
(AD/Sbiswas)

(Via Video Conference)

MAT 205 of 2018
With
IA No.:CAN 1 of 2018 (Old No.:CAN 1519 of 2018)
with
IA No.:CAN 2 of 2020

Jugal Kishor Jaiswal
Vs.
The WBSEDCL & Anr.

Mr. Bidyut Kumar Halder
... for the appellant.

Mr. R.M. Chattopadhyay
... for the WBSEDCL.

The appellant had approached the learned Single Judge complaining of disconnection of electricity. His grievance was that he was served with a provisional order of assessment which was inflated. The learned Single Judge directed reconnection upon the writ petitioner paying 50 per cent of the provisional amount assessed. The balance amount was directed to be paid in instalments.

Being aggrieved, the writ petitioner is before us.

We have heard learned advocate for the writ petitioner/appellant and learned advocate for the distribution company. We are told that on the day the learned Judge passed the order under challenge, the final order of assessment was issued by the distribution company. A copy of such order has been made over to the learned advocate for the appellant today. The appellant would be at liberty to challenge such final

order of assessment before the appropriate forum in accordance with law.

If the statutory appeal is filed within three weeks from today, the appellate authority shall consider the same on merits without going into the question of limitation.

We do not see any reason to keep this appeal pending. The appeal and the connected applications are accordingly disposed of.

(Thottathil B. Radhakrishnan, CJ.)

(Arijit Banerjee, J.)