

28.01.2021

ss

W.P.A. 3178 of 2020

(Through Video Conference)

Moumita Ghosh

Vs.

State of West Bengal & ors.

Mr. Mir Anowar

... for the petitioner

Mr. Avishek Prasad

... for the State

Ms. Sreetama Neogi

... for the respondent no.6

This is an application under Article 226 of the Constitution of India wherein the writ petitioner is aggrieved by the inaction on the part of the respondent authorities in releasing the provident fund, gratuity and other service benefit of the deceased husband of the petitioner. Furthermore, a prayer for compassionate appointment was made before the authority concerned.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that subsequent to filing of the writ petition the authority has provided certain forms to the writ petitioner and asked her to apply in the same which has been done by the petitioner.

I have heard learned Counsel for the parties and perused the materials on record.

In my view, the authorities should expeditiously consider the case of the petitioner and pass a reasoned order within a period of four weeks from date.

Furthermore, the case of the petitioner with regard to compassionate appointment may also be considered as per the extant rule.

With the above observations, this writ petition is disposed of.

Since no affidavit-in-opposition is called for, allegations made in the writ petition are deemed not to have been admitted.

All parties are to act on website copy of this order.

(Shekhar B. Saraf, J.)