

CRM No.1712 of 2021
Via video conference

20.04.21

(S.R.)

Sl.24

Ct.28

In re: An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed in connection with Domjur Police Station Case No.386 of 2020 dated 14.08.2020 under Sections 498A/304B/302/34 of the Indian Penal Code and under Sections 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act;

And

In re: Ranjit Das

... for the petitioner.

Mr. Subhasish Pachhal

... for the petitioner.

Mr. Saswata Gopal Mukherjee

Mr. Partha Pratim Das

Ms. Manasi Roy

...for the State.

The learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated. The alleged incident occurred about five years after the marriage. The father-in-law and the mother-in-law of the deceased have already been enlarged on bail. Upon completion of investigation charge-sheet has also been filed and as such, further detention of the petitioner, who is in custody for 250 days is not warranted in the facts and circumstances and the petitioner may be enlarged on bail on any stringent condition.

The learned advocate appearing for the State opposes the petitioner's prayer and draws our attention to the statements of the witnesses. She further submits that charges have already been framed and date has been fixed for evidence.

Having heard the learned advocates and considering the materials in the case diary, the gravity of the offence, the post-mortem report and the extent of complicity of the petitioner in the alleged offence, we are not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

Accordingly, the application for bail being CRM No.1712 of 2021 is rejected.

All parties shall act on the server copies of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)

(Tapabrata Chakraborty, J.)