

13.04.2021

CRM 1634 of 2021

Court No.28

(Via Video Conference)

Item 134

sg

In Re: - An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Kalyani Police Station Case No. 675 of 2016 dated 28.11.2016 under Sections 341/326/307/120B/34 of the Indian Penal Code read with Sections 25/27 of the Arms Act.

Babu Roy @ Bapi Roy
Versus
The State of West Bengal

Mr. Sanjib Mitra, Adv.

...for the Petitioner.

Mr. Madhusudan Sur, Adv.
Mr. Dipankar Paramanick, Adv.

...for the State.

The learned Counsel for the petitioner in his usual fairness has submitted that the petitioner has made a prayer for renewal of his bail. The petitioner is in custody for four years and four months.

We find from the records that earlier the applications were rejected with a direction upon the learned trial court to conclude the trial within a specified period. However, due to pandemic situation, the trial could not progress on a regular basis.

Considering the fact that eight witnesses out of 12 witnesses have been examined in the meantime and 26th April, 2021 has been fixed for examination of the remaining witnesses, we request the learned trial court to prepare a schedule for examination of the witnesses and thereafter fixed for argument so as to deliver the judgment preferably within a period of six months from the next date fixed without granting unnecessary adjournments to either of the parties.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail is rejected.

All parties shall act on the server copy of the order.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)

(Soumen Sen, J.)