

12.2.2021
388,ct.15
sk

W.P.A.4257 of 2021
Sikha Kundu
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Goutam Kumar Maity
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Neelam Singh
.....for the State.

Affidavit of service filed in Court today is kept with the record.

The petitioner was an Assistant Teacher of a Primary School who retired on **31.03.2006**. The first pension payment order was issued on **21.05.2006**. Under the ROPA Rules, 2009 there was revision of the pensionary and gratuity amount payable to the petitioner. The revised pension payment order was issued on **31.07.2013** and the revised gratuity and arrear pension amount was disbursed on **24.08.2013** in terms of ROPA, 2009. The petitioner claims interest on delayed payment of the revised gratuity and arrear pension amount.

There is a considerable delay in filing of the writ petition, which the petitioner seeks to justify by stating that there is no statutory period of limitation and neither parties have suffered due to this delay. It is the submission of the petitioner that accordingly the petition should be allowed. The petitioner relies upon an order in **W.P.No. 17557(W) of 2017(Narayan Chandra Saha Vs. State of West Bengal & Ors.)** wherein a co-ordinate Bench had relied upon the Supreme Court judgement in the case of **Union of India Vs. Tarsem Singh, reported in (2008) 8 S.C.C. 648** on the issue of limitation relating to payment or re-fixation of pay or pension wherein the Apex Court

had held that relief may be granted in spite of delay as it does not affect the rights of the third party.

In view of the above and after hearing the learned Counsel for the parties, I direct the Director of Pension, Provident Fund and Group Insurance, Government of West Bengal as also the concerned Treasury Officer to pay interest to the writ petitioner @ 8% per annum on the revised gratuity and arrear pension amount calculated on and from **1.06.2009** till the of actual payment. Such payment is to be made within a period of eight weeks from the date of communication of this order.

The writ petition is, thus, disposed of.

Since no affidavit is called for, the allegations made in the writ petition are deemed not to have been admitted by the respondents.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be made available to the parties upon compliance with the requisite formalities.

(Rajarshi Bharadwaj, J.)