

10. 12.2021
sl.3,sk
ct.29

C.R.R.314 of 2010

In the matter of :Sanjita Giri....petitioner.

Mr.Pravas Bhattacharyya
Mr. Sandip Chakraborty
...for the State.

None appears on behalf of the contesting petitioner to this revisional application.

Mr. Pravas Bhattacharyya, along with Mr. Sandip Chakraborty, learned Advocates who usually appear on behalf of the State are requested to appear in this revisional application.

Learned Public Prosecutor is requested to regularize the appointment of Mr. Pravas Bhattacharyya and Mr. Sandip Chakraborty.

From the record, it appears that the instant criminal revisional application is pending since 2010. Learned Advocate appearing for the State submits that the impugned order does not suffer from any irregularity or illegality and he prays for dismissal.

After careful perusal of the revisional application, I find that the revisional application was directed against the order dated 17.11.2009 passed by the learned ACJM, Kakdwip, South 24-Parganas. On scrutiny of the order impugned, I find that in pursuant of the direction of the Hon'ble Court in CRR 3649 of 2007 with CRAN 2224 of 2008 the petitioner went to the court of the learned ACJM and deposited Rs. 5,000/-. Learned ACJM directed the petitioner to make the compliance before the learned court of J.M., Kakdwip where the original record is lying and accordingly, the learned ACJM transferred that Misc. Execution to the learned J.M. for disposal.

Parties were directed to appear before the court of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Kakdwip on 18.12.2009.

I do not find any illegality or irregularity in the order itself. Considering long pendency of this revision application and the nature of the order assailed, I find no reason to interfere with the order impugned. Thus, the revisional application stands dismissed.

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)