

28.01.2021
SL No.65
Court No.24
(P.M.)

WPA 2544 of 2020

**Parimal Das
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Mr. Ashok Chakraborty,
Mr. Kallol Maity
... for the petitioner
Mr. Tanmoy Mukherjee,
Mr. Tamal Taru Panda
..... for the respondent No. 8.

Mr. Kamal Mishra
... for the Pradhan

Mr. Sudipta Panda,
Ms. Munmun Tiwari
.... For the State.

The petitioner alleges that trade license for running the brick field has been issued in favour of the respondent No. 8 in violation of the statutory rules. The petitioner claims to have made a representation on 28th August, 2019 highlighting the grievances before the Pradhan of the Gram Panchayet.

On perusal of the aforesaid representation annexed at page 188, P/5 of the writ petition it appears that the allegations made therein are absolutely private in nature. There is not a single allegation with regard to the violation of statutory rights.

Accordingly, the prayer of the petitioner for consideration of his representation cannot be allowed by this Court.

Be it recorded that the learned advocate appearing on behalf of the Khejuri Gram Panchayet submits, upon

instruction, that the trade license has been issued in favour of the respondent No. 8 after compliance of all the statutory rules and regulations. The private respondent is presently running the brick field upon payment of necessary fees.

WPA 2544 of 2020 stands dismissed.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties on completion of usual formalities.

(Amrita Sinha, J.)