

18-02-2021
ct no. 13
Sl.115
pk

**WPA 3825 of 2021
(Through Video Conference)**

**Tanmoy Sarkar
Versus
State of West Bengal and others**

**Mr. Sarathi Dasgupta,
Ms. Madhurima Halder,
Mr. Asit Kumar Hazra,
Mr. Mrinal Kanti Maity**

... for the petitioner.

Mr. Susanta Pal, learned advocate, who normally appears for the State, is directed to represent the State in the instant matter.

The writ petitioner claims to have been engaged by a Contrator entrusted with the responsibility of operating and maintaining at the Arsenic-cum-Iron Removal Plant at Bara Bakra Water Supply Scheme P. H. No.-II.

The respondent claims that the local Panchayat has been interfering with her engagement.

Various other prayers have been made but not pressed by counsel for the petitioner. The petitioner seeks mandamus against the Panchayat from interfering with her engagement by the said contractor.

This Court notes that the grievance as regards employment or interference or otherwise of the petitioner can at the most be raised

against the employer, who admittedly is a private contractor engaged by the Panchayat.

No relief can, therefore, be granted to the writ petitioner in the instant proceeding. The maintainability of the writ petition before this Court is also in serious doubt.

The petitioner may pursue any other remedy for wrongful interference with his engagement by the authorities, if any, in accordance with law.

The instant writ petition stands dismissed.

No order as to costs.

Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties upon compliance of all formalities.

(Rajasekhar Mantha, J.)