

In the matter of:- Selim Mondal

...petitioner

Mr. Arnab Chatterjee.
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Madhusudan Sur,
Mr. Monoranjan Mahata.
...for the State.

This is an application seeking expeditious disposal of a proceeding in which a charge sheet was submitted under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 472 and 120B of the Penal Code.

Let a copy of this application be served upon Mr. Madhusudan Sur and Mr. Monoranjan Mahata, learned Counsels who ordinarily appear on behalf of the State and are present in Court today. Their engagement may be regularised by the competent authority of the State in due course.

Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. The petitioner is the de facto complainant in this case. Although the present proceeding was instituted in 2019 and the charge sheet was submitted in April 2020, till date the proceeding has not been concluded. The matter has remained pending for no fault on the part of the present petitioner.

I have heard the submissions of the learned Counsels appearing on behalf of the petitioner and the State and have perused the revision petition.

No prejudice will be caused to anyone if a direction is passed for an expeditious disposal of the proceeding.

It does not appear that much delay has been occasioned in concluding the impugned proceeding.

However, in the interest of justice it is expected that the learned Trial Court shall conclude the proceeding as expeditiously as possible without granting any unnecessary adjournment to any of the parties.

With these observations, the revisional application is disposed of.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order may be supplied to the parties expeditiously, if applied for.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)