

229
Dd
Ct.
15

12.02.2021

WPA 3600 of 2021

**Nilima Bera
Vs
The State West Bengal & Ors.**

Mr. Goutam Kumar Maity ... for the Petitioner

Mr. Sailendu Sekhar BayerdFor the State

Affidavit of service filed in Court today is kept with the record.

The husband of the petitioner was appointed as an Assistant Teacher of a Primary School, who retired from service on 30.04.1998. The petitioner had completed all pensionrelated formalities prior to his retirement. The pension payment order was issued on 06.02.2002. However, the concerned authorities delayed and released his arrear pension on 04.04.2002. The petitioner herein seeks interest to be paid on the arrear pension amount for the interim period of delay in receipt of the arrear pension amount.

There is a considerable delay in filing of the writ petition, which the petitioner seeks to justify by stating that there is no statutory period of limitation and neither parties have suffered due to this delay. It is the submission of the petitioner that accordingly the petition should be allowed.

The petitioner relies upon an order dated October 25, 2017 passed in W.P. No. 17557 (W) of 2017 (Narayan Chandra Saha Vs. State of West Bengal & Ors.) wherein a co-ordinate Bench

of this court had relied upon a Supreme Court judgement in the case of Union of India Vs. Tarsem Singh, reported in (2008) 8 S.C.C. 648 on the issue of limitation relating to payment or re-fixation of pay or pension wherein the Apex Court had held that relief may be granted in spite of delay as it does not affect the rights of the third party.

In view of the above and after hearing the learned Counsel for the petitioner, I direct the Director of Pension, Provident Fund and Group Insurance, Government of West Bengal as also the concerned Treasury Officer to pay interest to the petitioner @ 8% per annum on the arrear pension amount calculated from 01.05.1998 till the date of payment. Such payment is to be made within a period of eight weeks from the date of communication of this order.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

Since no affidavit is called for, the allegations made in the writ petition are deemed to have been denied.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be made available to the parties upon compliance with the requisite formalities.

(Rajarshi Bharadwaj, J.)