

05.03.2021
adeb
Sl. 10
Ct. 15

W.P.A. 3420 of 2021

**Ramkrishna Roy
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Mr. Sudip Sarkar

.....for the Petitioner

Affidavit-of-service filed in Court today is kept with the record.

The material facts of the case are supported by records and hence I have not called for affidavits.

The petitioner was an Assistant Teacher of a primary School and he retired from service on 31.01.2008. The first pension payment order was issued on 03.08.2009. Under the ROPA Rules, 2009 there was revision of the pensionary amount payable to the petitioner. The revised pension payment order was issued on 25.02.2013 and arrear pension amount was disbursed on 31.07.2013 in terms of ROPA, 2009. The petitioner claims interest on delayed payment of revised arrear pension and revised gratuity amount.

There is a considerable delay in filing of the writ petition, which the petitioner seeks to justify by stating that there is no statutory period of limitation and neither parties have suffered due to this delay. It is the submission of the petitioner that accordingly the petition should be allowed. The petitioner relies upon an order in W.P. 17557 (W) of 2017 (Narayan Chandra Saha Vs. State of West Bengal & Ors.) wherein a co-ordinate bench had relied upon the Supreme Court judgement in the case of Union of India Vs. Tarsem Singh, reported in (2008) 8 S.C.C. 648 on the issue of limitation relating to payment or re-fixation of pay or pension wherein the Apex Court had held that relief

may be granted in spite of delay as it does not affect the rights of the third party.

In view of the aforesaid, I direct the Director of Pension, Provident Fund and Group Insurance, Government of West Bengal as also the concerned Treasury Officer to pay interest to the petitioner @ 8% per annum on the revised arrear pension and revised gratuity amount calculated on and from 19.05.2009 till the date of actual payment. Such payment is to be made within a period of eight weeks from the date of communication of this order.

With these observations, the writ petition is disposed of.

Since no affidavit in opposition has been called for, the allegations made in the writ petition are deemed to have not been admitted by the respondents.

There will be no order as to costs.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties on urgent basis.

(Rajarshi Bharadwaj, J.)