

C.R. No. 1508 of 1989
with
C.O. No. 1828 of 1988

Shri Baidya Nath Roy
Vs.
Shri Anil Patra

(Via video conference)

Since service could not be effected on the learned advocate for the parties despite endeavour of the department, the matter is taken up for hearing.

None appears on behalf of either of the parties at the time of call.

Accordingly, C.R. No. 1508 of 1989 and C.O. No. 1828 of 1988 are dismissed for default.

Rule, if any issued, stands discharged.

Interim order, if any passed, stands automatically vacated.

There will be no order as to costs.

(Sabyasachi Bhattacharyya, J.)