

5.2.2021
279,ct.15
sk

W.P.A.2682 of 2021
Swarnalata Ghosh
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Imdadul Biswas
... For the Petitioner.

Mr. Syed Julfikar Ali
...for the State.

Affidavit of service filed in Court today is kept with the record.

The petitioner's husband was an approved Head Master of a Primary School, who retired from service on **31.01.1995** and died on **08.08.2017**. The petitioner had completed all pension-related formalities prior to his retirement. However, the concerned authorities delayed and released the gratuity amount and arrear pension amount on **24.11.2002**. The petitioner herein seeks interest to be paid on the gratuity amount and arrear pension amount for the interim period of delay in receipt of the gratuity amount and arrear pension amount.

There is a considerable delay in filing of the writ petition, which the petitioner seeks to justify by stating that there is no statutory period of limitation and neither parties have suffered due to this delay. It is the submission of the petitioner that accordingly the petition should be allowed. The petitioner relies upon

an order in W.P. 17557(W) of 2017 (Narayan Chandra Saha Vs. State of West Bengal & Ors.) wherein a co-ordinate bench had relied upon the Supreme Court judgement in the case of Union of India Vs. Tarsem Singh, reported in (2008) 8 S.C.C. 648 on the issue of limitation relating to payment or re-fixation of pay or pension wherein the Apex Court had held that relief may be granted in spite of delay as it does not affect the rights of the third party.

In view of the above and after hearing the learned Counsel for both petitioner, I direct the Director of Pension, Provident Fund and Group Insurance, Government of West Bengal as also the concerned Treasury Officer to pay interest to the writ petitioner @ 8% per annum on the revised gratuity amount and arrear pension amount calculated on and from **01.02.1995** till the date of payment. Such payment is to be made within a period of eight weeks from the date of communication of this order to the concerned authorities.

The writ petition is, thus, disposed of, however, no order as to costs.

Since no affidavit is called for, the allegations made in the writ petition are deemed to have been denied.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be made available to the parties upon compliance with the requisite formalities.

(Rajarshi Bharadwaj, J.)