

18.06.2021

Sl. No. 01

Srimanta

Ct. No. – 42

D/L

**IA No.: CRAN/2/2018 (Old No. : CRAN/2693/2018)
in
CRR/52/2018**

(Via Video Conference)

In Re : An application for extension of interim order dated 25th July, 2018 passed by the Hon'ble Justice Shivakant Prasad in CRR No. 52 of 2018 arising out of G.R.Case No. 4824 of 2017 in connection with the Beldanga Police Station Case No. 540 of 2017 dated 07.12.2017 under Sections 376/417/506 of Indian Penal Code pending before the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Berhampore, Murshidabad.

In the matter of : Sanatan Ghosh

Mr. Saswata Gopal Mukherjee, Ld. P.P.,
Ms. Debjani Sahu Banerjee, Adv.

... petitioner.

...for the State.

In the instant application, the petitioner is not represented by his Learned Advocate. Learned Public Prosecutor, High Court is present with Mrs. Debjani Sahu Banerjee, Learned Additional Public Prosecutor.

I have heard the Learned Public Prosecutor. I have also perused the application under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petition of complaint under Section 156(3) of the Criminal Procedure Code and the formal F.I.R.

The petition of complaint under Section 156(3) of the Code was filed by one China Pramanik. She is a divorcee. After divorce she had intimate relationship with the petitioner. It is alleged that such intimacy culminated to physical relationship with the petitioner. The petitioner used to treat the *de facto* complainant as his wife. As a result of cohabitation the *de facto* complainant became pregnant thrice but the petitioner gave some medicines on all occasions to

the *de facto* complainant and she was aborted. The petitioner publicly agreed to marry her but subsequently he tried to kill her by strangulation and throttling. On the basis of the said complaint, police registered Beldanga Police Station Case No. 540 of 2017 dated 07.12.2017.

At this stage, this Court does not find any material to quash the aforesaid Police Station Case. If the petitioner has any grievance he may agitate the same at the time of framing of charge.

In view of the above discussion, I do not find any merit in the instant criminal revision. Therefore, the criminal revision is **dismissed** on merit without any cost. With the dismissal of the criminal revision all connected applications are also **disposed of**.

(Bibek Chaudhuri, J.)