

C.R. No. 2661 of 1988

**Rabindra Protap Narayan Singh
Vs.
Tapsi Jaswara**

(Via video conference)

Since service could not be effected on the learned advocate for the petitioner despite endeavour of the department, the matter is taken up for hearing.

None appears on behalf of either of the parties at the time of call.

Accordingly, C.R. No. 2661 of 1988 is dismissed for default.

Rule, if any issued, stands discharged.

Interim order, if any passed, stands automatically vacated.

There will be no order as to costs.

(Sabyasachi Bhattacharyya, J.)