

13 22.01.2021
Sc

W.P.A. 605 OF 2021

(Through Video Conference)

Amita Ghosh

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Sayan De
Mr. Kaustav Shome.

.... For the Petitioner.

Ms. Chaitali Bhattacharya
Mr. Mrinal Kanti Ghosh.

.... For the State.

1. This is an application under Article 226 of the Constitution of India wherein the writ petitioner is aggrieved by certain objection that have been raised by the District Inspector of Schools (S.E.), Paschim Medinipur [hereinafter referred to as 'the D.I.'] with regard to certain annual increments that the petitioner has received.

2. According to the D.I. the annual increments should not have been granted to the petitioner based on certain Government Orders.

3. In my view, this matter has to be sorted out properly by the authority concerned. In light of the same, I direct the Commissioner School Education (hereinafter referred to as 'the Commissioner') to grant an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and thereafter pass a reasoned

order within a period of four weeks from the date of communication of this order upon the Commissioner.

4. The petitioner shall be at liberty to place all the relevant Government Orders before the Commissioner.

5. With the above direction the writ petition is disposed of. There will be no order as to costs.

6. I make it clear that I have not gone into the merits of this case.

7. Since no affidavit-in-opposition is called for, the allegations made in the writ petition are deemed not to have been admitted.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties upon compliance of all necessary formalities.

(Shekhar B. Saraf, J.)