

19.08.2021
Item No. 45
Ct. No. 04
PG

F.M.A. 607 of 2018
With
I.A. no. 1 of 2016 (Old CAN 10620 of 2016) (Not Found)
(Via Video Conference)
State Bank of India
Vs.
Smt. Bidisha Naskar (Paria) & Anr.

Mr. Swarup Banerjee
Mr. Hari Charan Yadavfor appellant

Mr. Banerjee, learned advocate appears on behalf of appellant. He submits in fairness that impugned order dated 3rd September, 2016 dealt with his client's application made under Order 9 Rule 4 , Code of Civil Procedure. It is revisable but not appealable.

We find the appeal is not maintainable. As such, we dismiss the appeal and connected application with liberty to appellant to file for revision, if so advised, taking the necessary plea of exclusion.

Certified copy of impugned order may be returned to the learned advocate upon furnishing a true copy thereof.

Photostat certified copy of this order be made available to the learned advocate on requisition duly put in.

(Arindam Sinha, J.)

(Saugata Bhattacharyya, J.)