

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Letters Patent Appeal No.1628 of 2019

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4447 of 2019

=====

Mukesh Kumar S/o Janak Sahni Resident of Village- Bisanpur Dhanraj, P.s.-
Kudhni, District- Muzaffarpur

... Petitioner ... Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State Bank of India General Insurance Company Limited through its Managing Director, Corporate and Registered Office- Natraj 101, 201 and 301, Junction of Western Express Highway and Andheri-Kurla Road, Andheri East, Mumbai-400069
2. The State Bank of India General Insurance Company Limited through General Manager, Plot B-18 and B-19, Road No. 16, Wagle Industrial State Near Indian Oil Petrol Pump, M.I.D.C. Thane (West), Mumbai-400604
3. The State Bank of India General Insurance Company Limited through Branch Manager, 4th Floor, Unit No. 4001, 4002, Grand Plaza Fraser Road, P.o.- G.P.O., Patna- 800001 (Bihar)
4. The Senior Manager Sri Ram Finance Company Limited, Bhagwanpur, Muzaffarpur

... Respondents ... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s	:	Mr. Mazharul Hassan, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Kaushlendra Kumar Sinha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR)

Date : 10-02-2021

Heard the parties.

Aggrieved by judgment and order dated 16.07.2019

passed in C.W.J.C. No.4447 of 2019 passed by a learned Single

Judge of this Court, the appellant has preferred this appeal.

Vehicle of the appellant was stolen on 12.1.2016 for
which he had instituted an FIR being Muzaffarpur Motipur P.S.



Case No.10 of 2016 dated 12.1.2016 in which police submitted charge-sheet. The vehicle was insured with State Bank of India, Insurance Company and after investigation, claim of appellant for Rs.8,41,794/- was approved by surveyor, however, petitioner received a letter dated 21.11.2017 that the claim of appellant is closed for want of required documents and appellant submitted invalid NOC and FORM 35 which could not be satisfactorily explained.

The writ petition was disposed of by learned Single Judge with liberty to petitioner to pursue his remedy before any other appropriate forum in accordance with law.

This Court does not find any error or infirmity in the order passed by the learned Single Judge. Accordingly, LPA is dismissed.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

Sanjay/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	08.03.2021
Transmission Date	NA

