

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.375 of 2020

=====

Rakesh Kumar Son of Jagdish Prasad Resident of Village-Chandaila Tola
Surha, PS-Atri District-Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Food, Supply and Consumer Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Commissioner, Magadh Division, Gaya.
3. The District Magistrate, Gaya.
4. The Sub Divisional Officer, Nimchak Bathani, District-Gaya
5. The District Supply Officer, Gaya.
6. The Block Supply Officer, Mohra, District-Gaya.
7. Md. Shahbaz, Son of Abdul Rashid, Resident of Village-Jota, PO-Sewtar, PS-Atri, District-Gaya

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Dinu Kumar, Advocate Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, Advocate Ms. Ritika Rani, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. S. Raza Ahmad (AAG-5) Mr. Alok Ranjan, A.C. to AAG-5

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

3 14-12-2021 Petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):-

“(A) For quashing part of the order
dated 23-10-2019 passed by the District
Magistrate, Gaya which was issued vide letter no.



1139 dated 31-10-2019 as contained in Annexure-9 under the signature of District Supply Officer, Gaya with respect to the petitioner and private respondent Md. Shahbaz by which selection of the petitioner of Sarsu Panchayat under Nimchak Bathani Sub Division, District- Gaya as dealer has been cancelled and private respondent Md. Shahbaz respondent no.7 has been selected in place of the petitioner.

(B) Also commanding the respondents to not give effect of the cancellation of license of the petitioner being license no. 01/2018 as contained in Annexure-5 issued in favour of the petitioner to run Public Distribution System of Panchayat Sarsu, Block Mohra, Sub Division- Nimchak Bathani, District Gaya.

(C) Also commanding the respondents to allow the petitioner to discharge the duty of dealer pursuant to license no. 01/2018 as contained in Annexure-5 against which supply of grains has been stopped from the month of November.

(D) Also for necessary relief/reliefs, order/orders, direction/directions for which the petitioner is entitled in the eye of law.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present petition.

Permission granted.



The present Writ Petition stands dismissed as
withdrawn.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

P.K.P./-

U			
---	--	--	--

