

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.4527 of 2019

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8330 of 2019

=====

Rukmani Devi Wife of Late Kailash Chandra Trigunayat Resident of Mohalla- Hasan Chowk, P.O.- Lal Bagh, P.S.- Darbhanga Town, District- Darbhanga.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Bailey Road, Patna.
2. Mr. Vivek Kumar Singh Son of Rudra Dev Narayan Sinha Identity No. 01BH041401, Indian Administrative Service/1989, Resident of Mohalla- Bhujawali Gali, P.S.- Jakkanpur, Patna.
3. Mr. Birendra Kumar Mishra Son of Late D.K. Mishra Identity No. DIBH812306, Indian Administrative Service/Bihar/2016. The Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Bailey Road, Patna.
4. Mr. Jai Singh Son of Gauri Shankar Singh Indian Administrative Service, Director, Land Records and Survey, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Bailey Road, Patna, Mansarovar Apartment, Near Potato Research Institute, Murlichak, Dhanaut, Patna.
5. Mr. Kumar Ravi Son of Sri Ram Prasad Identity No. 01BH05000 Indian Administrative Service/Bihar/2005, Resident of Village- Noawan Panchayat, Police Station Asthawan, Post Office- Asthawan, District- Nalanda.
6. Dr. Nilotpal Goswami the Accountant General (A and E) Patna Mahalekhar Bhawan, Patna, Bihar.
7. Dr. Kavyadeep Joshi The Dy. Accountant General (Administration/Works/GE), Patna Mahalekhar Bhawan, Patna, Bihar.

... .. Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr.Manish Kumar No 13, Advocate
		Mr. Rohit Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party	:	Mr.Rishi Raj Sinha (SC 19) and
		Mr. Saurabh Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

7 26-02-2021 It is submitted on behalf of learned counsel for the petitioner that during pendency of the contempt petition, required documents for grant of retiral benefits and family



pension to the petitioner, have been submitted to the opposite parties.

In view of the aforesaid development, contempt petition is dropped.

The Court expects that as per averment made in paragraph 7 of the show cause, opposite party no.5 will ensure grant of retiral benefits and family pension to the petitioner as early as possible.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Shashi

U			
---	--	--	--

