

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Letters Patent Appeal No.1296 of 2019

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6206 of 2012

=====

Dr. Narendra Pratap Singh Son of Late Virendra Pratap Singh, Resident of
Mohalla-B/17 peoples Co-operative Colony, Kankarbagh, District-Patna.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Health Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Health Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Deputy Director, Health Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Deputy Director, Health Department (Medical Education), Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Additional Director, Health Department (Medical Education), Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
6. The Regional Deputy Director, Health Department (Medical Education), Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
7. The Principal, Patna Medical College and Hospital, Patna.
8. Dr. Pramod Kumar Singh, Department of Psychiatriy, P.M.C.H., Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s	:	Mr. Y.V. Giri, Sr. Advocate
	:	Mr. Sumit Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Suryadeo Yadav (AAG- 9)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 22-03-2021

The present Letters Patent Appeal has been preferred



against the judgment dated 02.09.2019 passed by a learned Single Judge of this Court in C.W.J.C. No. 6206 of 2012 titled as (Dr. Narendra Pratap Singh Vs. The State of Bihar and Ors.)

A communication dated dated 23rd of February, 2021 is placed on record whereby present appellant/writ petitioner stands appointed as a Professor and Head, Department of psychiatric, Patna Medical College, Patna. Also Private respondent no. 8, namely Mr. Pramod Kumar Singh has since retired.

According to Sri Y.V. Giri, the learned Senior Advocate, in view of such intervening developments, no adjudication is required.

As such under instructions from the appellant, he states that liberty be granted to the petitioner/appellant for raising the surviving issue(s), at the appropriate level before the Government.

State has no objection to the same.

As such, present appeal, as prayed for, stands disposed of reserving liberty to the appellant to raise the surviving issue(s), if any, at the appropriate level in the Government. Similarly his representation shall be considered and disposed of, uninfluenced of the observations made in the



impugned judgment. It shall be open for the appropriate authority to consider and decide the case of the appellant on its own merit.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

veena/rajiv-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	NA

