

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.65928 of 2019**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-598 Year-2018 Thana- PATNA COMPLAINT CASE District-
Patna

=====

RANJIT KUMAR @ RANJEET KUMAR Son of Late Mahesh Prasad
Resident of Mohalla - Dariyapur Gola Road, Near Radha Krishna Mandir,
P.S. - Kadam Kuan, District - Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. THE STATE OF BIHAR
2. Vandana Madhukar Wife of Ranjeet Kumar @ Ranjit Kumar Resident of -
Dariyapur Gola Road, Patna, Near Radha Krishna Mandir, P.S. -
Kadamkuan, District - Patna Presently residing at Mohalla - Ramcharan Tola
Mokama in front of Bank of Baroda, Main Road Mokama, P.S. - Mokama,
District - Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr.Sushant Praveer, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr.Navin Kumar Pandey, APP
For opposite party No.2	:	Dr. Anjani Pd. Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

6 17-11-2021 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned APP
for the State and learned counsel for the opposite party No.2.

By order dated 04.11.2019, the petitioner was granted
provisional anticipatory bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this
Court.

Allegation against the petitioner is of committing
torture upon the victim due to non-fulfilment of demand of
dowry.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that
the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. There is no



allegation of tampering of witnesses alleged against the petitioner. The petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. There is no medical examination report in respect of offense under Sections 312 and 315 of I.P.C. The court below has taken cognizance for offenses under Sections 323, 498(A) of I.P.C. and Sections 3/4 of Dowry Prohibition Act. Said offenses are triable by the Magistrate. The petitioner has relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar, reported in 2006(3) PLJR 182.

On behalf of the State and learned counsel for the opposite party No.2, it is submitted that the petitioner is named in the complaint case/F.I.R.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the provisional anticipatory bail granted to the petitioner by order dated 04.11.2019 is, hereby, confirmed in connection with Complaint case No.598 of 2018 pending in the court of A.C.J.M. Barh.

If so advised, either of the parties will be at liberty to make an application before the Court below for referring the matter to the District Mediation Centre for the purpose of reconciliation or one time settlement. In case, any such



application is made by either of the parties, the court below shall
refer the matter to the District Mediation Centre.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

Narendra/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

