

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Letters Patent Appeal No.1269 of 2019

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19118 of 2015

Shukdeo Paswan @ Sukdeo Paswan, son of Late Dili Paswan, resident of Panchayat- Jarisinga, Village- Kherwani P.O.- Raj Dhanwar and P.S.- Dhanwar and District- Giridih, Jharkhand.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. Punjab National Bank through its General Manger, Punjab National Bank, Head Office, 5 Sansad Marg, New Delhi- 110001.
2. Chairman, Punjab National Bank, 5 Sansad Marg, New Delhi- 110001.
3. Circle Head, Punjab National Bank, Circle Office Ramchandrapur Biharsharif, Nalanda.
4. Branch Manager, Punjab National Bank, Sohsarai, District- Nalanda.
5. The Assistant Labour Commissioner, Government of India, Ministry of Labour, Maurya Lok Complex, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr.Apurva Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Kumar Priya Ranjan, Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and**

**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT**

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR)

Date : 02-03-2021

Heard the parties.

Aggrieved by judgment and order dated 04.09.2019
passed by learned Single Judge of this Hon'ble Court passed in
C.W.J.C. No. 19118 of 2019 disposing of the writ petition in



order to avail alternative remedy present L.P.A. has been filed by appellant/petitioner.

Appellant had filed writ petition for refund of Rs. 77,341.70/- alongwith interest which was deducted by respondent bank from his retiral dues.

Appellant was appointed in the Punjab National Bank on Class IV post and thereafter he was promoted to the post of Clerk and thereafter to the post of Head Cashier and was paid Head Cashier Allowance and he retired as Head Cashier on 31.05.2013. However, Rs. 77,341.70/- was deducted from the account of appellant, although there is no allegation of any fraud or misrepresentation by appellant in getting Head Cashier Allowance.

The writ petition was listed before the learned Single Judge upon mentioning for disposal of his representation dated 18.06.2013 and accordingly same was disposed of by the learned Single Judge with liberty to the appellant to approach the authorities having regard to the fact that the issues had been raised on his behalf before the Assistant Labour Commissioner which was still pending.

This Court does not find any infirmity or error in the order passed by the learned Single Judge requiring any



interference by the Court, accordingly, the L.P.A. is dismissed.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

veena/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	20.03.2021
Transmission Date	NA

