

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.807 of 2017

In
CIVIL REVIEW No.498 of 2013

=====

Mahant Vishnu Kant Das, chela of Late Sri Mahant Kishore Das, resident of
Mohalla - Bhauara Tiwary Pokhar, P.S. - Madhubani, District - Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Bihar State Hindu Religious Trust Board through the Chairman
Vidyapati Marg, Patna.
3. The District Registrar, District Registry Office, Madhubani.
4. The Sub-Registrar District Registry Office, Madhubani.
5. The Land Settlement Officer, Darbhanga.
6. The Land Settlement Officer, Madhubani.
7. The Land Settlement Officer, Samastipur.
8. The Circle Officer, Madhubani, Rahika.
9. Mohammad Mokhtar Alam son of Late Md. Azaz
10. Md. Sahib son of Late Md. Aizaz
11. Bibi Nehayat Khatoon wife of Md. Motiur Rehman
12. Md. Imtiaz Ali son of Late Mumtaz
13. Iftekhar Ahmad
14. Md. Shamiullah
15. Md. Baitullah son of Late Md. Ashini
16. Md. Mosibullah, All 13 to 16 are sons of late Md. Ashinm
17. Md. Nusrullah
18. Md. Anarullah,
Both 17 & 18 are sons of late Md. Sazik
all residents of Mohalla - Manihar Tola, Ward No. 17, P.S. and District -
Madhubani.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajeev Prakash, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Khurshid Alam, AAG 12

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
ORAL ORDER

4 09-12-2021 The instant application stands filed for restoration of



Civil Review No. 498 of 2013, titled as **Mahant Vishnu Kant Das Chela of Late Sri Mahanat Kishore Das Vs. The State of Bihar & Ors**, which stood dismissed for non-compliance of peremptory order dated 09.04.2014 passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court.

The review petition was rejected on 23.04.2014 for non-compliance of peremptory order dated 09.04.2014. The instant application for restoration was filed only on 15.03.2017. No sufficient ground for delay or explanation for non-appearance is made out. In fact, the application which contains three paragraphs, does not explain any reason for delay or non-appearance of the petitioner.

Litigation must come to an end at some stage. Litigant is not an illiterate/rustic person; not prevented from taking recourse to the remedies provided under law. He ought to have been vigilant in pursuing the matter.

As such, the instant application stands dismissed.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

Sujit/Ashwini

U			
---	--	--	--

