

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18043 of 2019**

=====

Smt. Krishna Devi wife of Sri Ajit Kumar Sah resident of Village  
Janardanpur- Darhiya, P.S. Kalyanpur, District Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, General Administration, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director Panchayat Raj, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Commissioner, Darbhanga.
5. The Collector, Samastipur.
6. The Sub- Divisional Officer, Samastipur.
7. The District Panchayat Raj Officer, Samastipur.
8. The Block Development Officer cum Executive Officer, Kalyanpur, District Samastipur.
9. Chandan Kumar, Block Development Officer Kalyanpur, District Samastipur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amarendra Narayan, Adv  
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Shailesh Kumar, AC to GP-5

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH**

**and**

**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD**  
**ORAL ORDER**

**(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH)**

14    15-12-2021                      The petitioner claims to be elected the *Pramukh* of Kalyanpur Block under Samastipur District. She is seeking a direction to the respondents to cause an enquiry into large scale illegalities and irregularities committed in allotment and disbursement out of *Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojna &*



*Sauchalaya Fund* in the said Block. She is also seeking a direction to the Block Development Officer to place entire list showing allotment and distribution of *Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojna & Sauchalaya Fund*, with the details of beneficiaries, before the Block Panchayat Samiti. There are three other reliefs which the petitioner is seeking as is evident from paragraph no. 1 of the writ petition.

On close reading of the writ petition, however, it appears that the petitioner's main grievance as on the date of filing of this writ petition in 2019 was against the then Block Development Officer of the Block who has admittedly since been transferred and is no more holding the post of Block Development Officer of the said block.

Further, fresh Panchayat election of the Panchayats in question have now been concluded. The petitioner is no more *Pramukh* of the Block.

Except for the vague allegations of illegalities and irregularities committed by respondent no. 8, there is no verifiable material brought on record by the petitioner in this case so as to make out a case for this Court's interference in a proceeding under Article 226 of the



Constitution of India.

Considering the above, this application is disposed of with an observation that the petitioner shall be at liberty to raise the issue after reconstitution of the Panchyat Samiti based on Panchayat election recently held.

**(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)**

**( Madhuresh Prasad, J)**

SUMIT/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

