

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
(FROM RESIDENTIAL OFFICE VIA VIDEO APPLICATION)
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.10441 of 2021**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-358 Year-2019 Thana- ROSERA District- Samastipur

=====

Ram Sringar Sharma @ Ram Sagar Sharma S/O Mangal Sharma R/O Vill.-
Jashi Pabra, P.S.- Rosera, Dist.- Samastipur Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pramod Kumar Singh,Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Akhileshwar Dayal,APP
For the Informant : Mr.Mahendra Pratap,Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

6 21-08-2021 Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to remove all the defects pointed out by the Stamp reporter within four weeks after start of normal functioning of the Court.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the informant and Mr. Akhileshwar Dayal, learned APP for the State.

The petitioner in the present case is seeking regular bail in connection with Rosera P.S. Case No. 358 of 2019 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 304B, 201, 120B of the Indian Penal Code.

As per the prosecution story, the marriage between the deceased lady and the petitioner had been solemnised in the year 2013. It is alleged that the petitioner and in-laws were demanding a motorcycle and for that reason they were committing cruelty upon the deceased.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it has come

in course of investigation that the deceased lady had consumed poison on her own. This petitioner has remained in jail since 19th March, 2020, therefore, his prayer for bail be considered.

Mr. Akhileshwar Dayal, learned APP for the State as well as learned counsel for the informant have opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner. It is submitted that in several paragraphs of the case diary it has come that the wife of the petitioner died after consuming poison but because of the kind of cruelty which she was facing and further it has come that how her dead body was burnt in the field, the occurrence was not reported to her family members and thereafter the accused persons fled away but one of the co-sharers of the petitioner informed the police about the place where the dead body was burnt and the remains of the dead body were seized from that place.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the seriousness of the allegation and the conduct after death of the victim, this Court is not inclined to release the petitioner on bail. The prayer for regular bail of the petitioner is, thus, refused.

Let the trial be expedited.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

SUSHMA2/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

Note: The ordersheet duly signed has been attached with the record. However, in view of the present arrangements, during Pandemic period all concerned shall act on the basis of the copy of the order uploaded on the High Court website under the heading 'Judicial Orders Passed During The Pandemic Period'.