

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8616 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-319 Year-2020 Thana- BHAGWAN BAZAR District- Saran

PRAVIN PRATAP SINGH, aged about 29 years, Gender-Male, Son of Maheshwar Singh, Resident of Mohalla-Adarsh Colony, P.S.- Bhagwan Bazar, District - Saran at Chapra and Permanent Resident of Village - Navka Gaon, P.S.- Rewti, District - Balia (Uttar Pradesh).

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Pragya Kishore, aged about 24 years, Female, Wife of Pravin Pratap Singh, Daughter of Raj Kishore Singh, Resident of Mohalla - Adarsh Colony, P.S.- Bhagwan Bazar, District - Saran at Chapra.

... .. Opposite Parties

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Nawnit Kumar Tiwary, Advocate.
For the State	:	Mr. A.G.
For the O. P. No. 2	:	Mr. Bindhyachal Singh and Mr. Ram Binod Singh, Advocates.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 07-04-2021 Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to remove the defects, as pointed out by the office, within a period of four weeks.

Heard learned counsels for the petitioner, opposite party no. 2 and learned A.P.P. for the State through Virtual mode.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case for the offence registered under Sections 498(A), 504, 342/34 of the I.P.C. and $\frac{3}{4}$ of the D.P. Act.

The prosecution story, in brief, is that the accused



persons including the petitioner tortured the victim due to non-fulfilment of demand of dowry.

It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. There is no allegation of tampering with the witnesses alleged against the petitioner. The petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case due to petty family dispute. The petitioner is the husband of the victim. The case is triable by the Magistrate. The petitioner has further relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar, reported in 2006(3) PLJR 182.

On behalf of the learned counsels for the State and the opposite party no. 2, it has been submitted that the petitioner is named in the F.I.R/ complaint case.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner above named, in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) only with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned S.D.J.M., Saran at Chapra, in connection with Bhagwan



Bazar P.S. Case No. 319 of 2020, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

If so advised, either of the parties will be at liberty to make an application before the court below for referring the matter to the District Mediation Centre for the purpose of reconciliation or one time settlement. In case, any such application is made by either of the parties, the court below shall refer the matter to the District Mediation Centre.

The opposite party no. 2 will be at liberty to make an application before the concerned Family Court for the purpose of maintenance, if so advised.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

U.K./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

