

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.10110 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-187 Year-2020 Thana- RANIGANJ District- Araria

1. SHYAMANAND PANDIT @ SHYAMNANDAN PANDIT Son of Late Raudi Pandit Resident of Village- Parsahat Ward No. 09, P.S.- Raniganj, District- Araria.
2. Bechan Pandit @ Amresh Kumar Son of Hiranand Pandit Resident of Village- Parsahat Ward No. 09, P.S.- Raniganj, District- Araria.
3. Hiranand Pandit Son of Late Raudi Pandit Resident of Village- Parsahat Ward No. 09, P.S.- Raniganj, District- Araria.
4. Fulchand Pandit Son of Shyamanand Pandit Resident of Village- Parsahat Ward No. 09, P.S.- Raniganj, District- Araria.
5. Molchand Pandit @ Mulchand Kumar Pandit Son of Shyamanand Pandit Resident of Village- Parsahat Ward No. 09, P.S.- Raniganj, District- Araria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Mukesh Kumar Rana
For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Nirmala Kumari, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 17-12-2021 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State through virtual mode.

Learned counsel for the petitioners is directed to
remove the defects, as pointed out by the Office, within a period
of eight weeks.

The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in a case
registered under Sections 147, 149, 188, 341, 323, 354B, 380,
447, 488, 427, 385, 504 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code.

The prosecution allegation, in short, is that the accused



persons entered into the house of the informant looted ornaments and also misbehaved with the informant.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioners that the petitioners have got no criminal antecedent. There is no allegation of tampering of witnesses alleged against the petitioners. The petitioners have falsely been implicated in the present case. For a petty reason, the alleged occurrence is said to have taken place. General and omnibus allegation has been made against the petitioners. No specific overt act is alleged against the petitioners. The case has been instituted after 5 days of the alleged occurrence. The delay has not been explained by the prosecution.

On behalf of the State, it is submitted that the petitioners are named in the F.I.R./complaint case.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioners, above named, in the event of arrest/surrender before the learned court below within a period of twelve weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M. -VI, Araria in connection with Raniganj P.S. Case No. 187 of 2020, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of



the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

Pankaj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

