

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7719 of 2021**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-373 Year-2020 Thana- MASAUDHI District- Patna

SONU KUMAR, Son of Mantu Yadav @ Arbind Kumar, Resident of Village
- Near Government Hospital Gangachak Malikana, P.S.- Masaurhi, Dist.-
Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Satish Chandra, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Humayou Ahmad Khan, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER**

3 03-09-2021 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State through virtual Court proceedings.

The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 384, 386, 387 of the Indian
Penal Code as well as under Sections 66(A), 66 (c) of the I.T.
Act.

Allegation against the petitioner alongwith other
accused persons is said to have demanded the extortion money
from the informant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this
case. He further submits that there is no specific overt act
against the petitioner. On the basis of confessional statement of
co-accused, the petitioner has been made accused in this case.



The petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 01.07.2020. The petitioner has 05 criminal antecedents which is mentioned in para 3 of the bail petition. Similar situated co-accused have been granted bail by different co-ordinate Benches of this Court.

Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for bail petition.

I perused the record including the case diary, the petitioner has 05 criminal antecedents.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected in connection with Masaurhi P.S. Case No. 373/2020 from the Court of learned S.D.J.M., Masaurhi at Patna.

Accordingly, this application is dismissed.

However, the petitioner is at liberty to renew his prayer for bail after framing of the charge.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

amitkumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

