

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7733 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-82 Year-2020 Thana- SINGHIYA District- Samastipur

MD. DILSHAD S/o - Late Md. Naushad @ Naushad Nadaf @ Chhedi Nadaf,
Resident of Village- Lilhaul, P.S.- Singhia, District- Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Abhimanyu Sharma, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anuj Kr. Srivastava, APp

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

3 03-09-2021 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State through virtual Court proceedings.

The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 411, 413 and 414 of the
Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against the petitioner alongwith other
accused persons is said to have indulged in selling and
purchasing of stolen motorcycle.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this
case. He further submits that there is no any incriminating
articles has been recovered from the conscious possession of the
petitioner. The petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since
17.07.2020. The petitioner has 07 criminal antecedents which is
mentioned in para 3 of the bail petition.



Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for bail petition and submits that the petitioner has 07 criminal antecedents which is mentioned in para 3 of the bail application.

I perused the record including the case diary, it appears that the petitioner had fled away from the police custody on 18.06.2020 and has 07 criminal antecedents.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected in connection with Singhia P.S. Case No. 82/2020 from the Court of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rosera at Samastipur.

Accordingly, this application is dismissed.

However, the petitioner is at liberty to renew his prayer for bail after framing of charge.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

amitkumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

