

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6628 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-24 Year-2020 Thana- BIRUPUR SAHAYAK District-
Lakhisarai

=====

MAHENDRA RAJAK S/O Shiv Shankar Rajak R/o- Repura, P.S. - Birupur,
District - Lakhisarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Uma Shankar Prasad Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

4 12-07-2021

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State through video conferencing.

The petitioner has filed the instant application for
grant of regular bail in connection with Birupur Sahayak P.S.
Case no. 24 of 2020 registered under sections 304B, 201 and 34
of the Indian Penal Code.

As per allegation in the FIR, it is stated by the
informant that he married his daughter to the son of the
petitioner herein. The accused persons including the petitioner
herein continued to torture his daughter for non-fulfillment of
demand of dowry. She was abused and threatened that she
would be killed. The informant states that he learnt that his
daughter was burnt to death by the accused persons and
thereafter her body was disposed off in the river by placing the
same in a sack.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner
that the allegations as levelled in the FIR are false and
concocted. He is the father-in-law of the deceased and is living



separately from his son. The allegations are general and omnibus in nature and there is no eye-witness to the occurrence. The allegations of demand of dowry are false and concocted. He is in custody since 5.6.2020 and has no criminal antecedent. On a query being made with respect to the whereabouts of the husband of the deceased, it is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that his application for grant of anticipatory bail is still pending.

The application for bail is opposed by learned counsel for the State.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the allegations against the petitioner in the FIR, the Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and the application is rejected.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Prakash/-

U			
---	--	--	--

