

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
(FROM RESIDENTIAL OFFICE VIA VIDEO APPLICATION)
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6215 of 2021**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-404 Year-2020 Thana- RAJAOLI District- Nawada

=====

SONU KUMAR SON OF UPENDRA SAW RESIDENT OF VILLAGE -
TAKUA TAND, P.S. - RAJAULI, DISTRICT - NAWADA

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pramod Kumar Verma, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Parmanand Kumar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

4 03-08-2021 Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to

remove all the defects as pointed out by office within four

weeks after start of normal functioning of the Court.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

A.P.P. for the State.

Petitioner in the present case is seeking regular bail in

connection with Rajauli P.S. Case No. 404 of 2020 registered

for the offences punishable under Section 392 of the Indian

Penal Code which was converted under Sections 395 and 412 of

the Indian Penal Code.

The allegation is that when the informant was going

to deposit a sum of Rs. 8,68,000/- in his account, the motorcycle

borne miscreants stopped him and looted away the entire

money.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. It is further submitted that although a sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- has been allegedly recovered from the house of the petitioner, in fact the said amount was kept by the petitioner for marriage of his sister.

On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has taken this Court through the materials on the record including the impugned order and has narrated as to how in course of investigation police has caught hold of the accused persons and on the basis of their confessional statements not only a sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- was recovered from the house of the petitioner, from the house of the co-accused also money were recovered and this case has been converted under Sections 395 and 412 Indian Penal Code.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the seriousness of the offences alleged and the materials available on the record, this Court is not inclined to release the petitioner on bail. Prayer for bail is thus refused.

Let the trial be expedited.

The trial court shall take all endeavours to conclude the trial at the earliest and preferably within a period of one year

from the date of start of normal functioning of the Court. If the trial still remains unconcluded for no reason attributable to the petitioner, he may renew his prayer for bail.

This application stands disposed of accordingly.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

Note: The ordersheet duly signed has been attached with the record. However, in view of the present arrangements, during Pandemic period all concerned shall act on the basis of the copy of the order uploaded on the High Court website under the heading 'Judicial Orders Passed During The Pandemic Period'.