

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11111 of 2017

1. Karuna Kumari
2. Mamta Kumari
Both are daughters of Late Jay Nandan Yadav resident of Village and P.O. Kamta, P.S. - Parasi, District - Arwal Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Accountant General, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director Directorate, General Provident Fund, Pant Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
4. The District Provident Fund Officer, Kuakol, Gaya, now district - Nawada.
5. The Block Development Officer, Gaya, District - Gaya.
6. The Block Development Officer, Khizersarai Gaya.
7. The Block Development Officer, Amas Gaya.
8. The Block Development Officer, Makhdumpur Jehanabad.
9. The Block Development Officer, Jehanabad, District - Jehanabad.
10. The Sub Divisional Agriculture Officer, Jehanabad.
11. The Sub Divisional Agriculture Officer, Sherghati.
12. The District Agriculture Officer, Gaya.
13. The Block Development Officer, Imamganj, Gaya.
14. The Sub-Treasury Officer, Sherghati, Gaya.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioners : Mr.Ghanshyam Sharma
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Awanish Nandan Sinha -Gp21

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

6 21-01-2021 Heard the parties.

Following relief has been sought for in paragraph 1 of the
present application on behalf of the petitioners:

“This is an application praying for direction to
the respondents/authorities to make payment of
remaining amount of G.P.F. as mentioned in para 11



at page 29 of Annexure (07) representation dated 08.11.2014 and also furnish the deduction statement from first deduction to Sept. 2003, Collateral Evidence, Interest Calculation Chart in G.P.F. Account No. AG-11352.”

On behalf of the State, a supplementary counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of respondent nos. 3 and 4. Following statements have been in paragraph nos. 7, 8 and 9 of the said supplementary counter affidavit:

7. That it is humbly submitted and stated that the Sub Divisional Agriculture Officer, Jehanabad has sent G.P.F. deduction statement for the period of July 1985 to 1987-1988 vide letter no. 198 dt. 28.09.2020.

8. That it is also respectfully submitted that G.P.F. Account of the petitioner was closed on G.P.F. Portal. So this respondent has requested G.P.F. Directorate for re-opening of G.P.F. Account of the petitioner. G.P.F. Directorate has re-opened the petitioner G.P.F. Account number on 09.12.2020. Hence the authority slip of G.P.F. issued.

9. That it is humbly submitted and stated that on the basis of G.P.F. deduction statement made available by Sub-Divisional Agriculture Officer, Jehanabad, petitioner has to be entitled for 12.5 % interest. So this respondent has calculated G.P.F. final withdrawal refresh. This respondent has authorised another G.P.F. Final Payment authority slip amounting to Rs. 65926/- vide letter no. Fp-575 dt. 10-12-2020 and allowed 12.5 % interest.”

It appears that majority of the grievance of the petitioners has already been redressed. The petitioners raise a question in respect of interest amount only which has not been paid to him.

Considering the aforesaid facts, the petitioners are directed to



file a detailed representation before the competent authority for redressal of the remaining grievances.

On filing of the said representation, the authority concerned shall pass an appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of four months from the date of filing of such representation.

With the aforesaid observation/direction, the present writ application stands disposed of.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

Pankaj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

