

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17570 of 2021

=====

Parmanand Roy Son of Prahalad Roy Resident of Mohalla - Vijay Nagar,
Hanuman Nagar, P.S. - Kankarbagh, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Rural Development Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Commissioner, National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme, Darbhanga.
3. The Commissioner, Darbhanga Commissionery, Darbhanga.
4. The District Magistrate, Samastipur.
5. The D.D.C. Samastipur.
6. The Project Officer, MNREGA, Samastipur.
7. The Block Development Officer, Patory, District- Samastipur.
8. The Block Development Officer, Mohanpur, District- Samastipur.
9. The Block Development Officer, Mohiuddin Nagar, District- Samastipur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ajay Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Anjani Kumar (AAG 4)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 05-10-2021

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief/s:

“For issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of mandamus or any other appropriate writ/ writs, order/orders, direction/directions, command/commands



for directing the respondents to enquire into the Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme of three Blocks i.e. Patori, Mohanpur and Mohiuddin Nagar where huge amount of nation defalcated without work since previously five years and further for recovery of defalcated amount and direction for lodged F.I.R. against guilty persons.”

Considering the nature of grievance raised in the application, we are of the considered view that it would be appropriate if the petitioner were to first approach the respondent authorities by way of filing representation.

The present application is, accordingly, disposed of reserving liberty to the petitioner to file appropriate representation before the appropriate authority within a period of four weeks. If such a representation is filed by the petitioner within the time stipulated, the authority concerned is directed to consider the same and dispose it of expeditiously and preferably within a period of three months thereafter. Needless to say that while considering such request, principles of natural justice shall be followed and due opportunity of hearing afforded to the parties.



If aggrieved by the said order, the petitioner shall have liberty to approach this Court by way of separate petitions, if so required and desired.

With the aforesaid observations, this application is disposed of.

Interlocutory application, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

K.C.Jha/DKS/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	07.10.2021
Transmission Date	

