

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.4826 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-60 Year-2020 Thana- RASULPUR District- Saran

-
1. NARENDRA SINGH s/o SHIV KUMAR SHING
 2. Ajay Singh s/o Narendra Singh
 3. Abhay Singh s/o Narendra Singh
 4. Aniket Singh s/o Narendra Singh, All R/o village- Atarsan, p.s.- Rasulpur, Dist- Chhapra, Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Maheshwar Prasad, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.A.G.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

2 07-12-2021 Heard learned counsels for the petitioners and

the State.

Petitioners apprehend arrest in connection

with Rasulpur P.S. Case 60 of 2020 registered for the offence

punishable under sections 323, 504, 307/34 of the Indian

Penal Code.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners

submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in this

case. The informant and petitioner no. 1 are full brothers.

Petitioner nos. 2 to 4 are nephew of the informant. There is

admitted land dispute between the parties. The injuries

sustained have been found to be simple in nature.
Petitioners have clean criminal antecedent.

Learned Addl. P.P. appearing for the State
submits that there is direct allegation of giving farsa blow on
the petitioner no. 1 which is supported by the injury report.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case
and the submissions advanced on behalf of the parties, let
the petitioner nos. 2 to 4, above named, in the event of arrest
or surrender within a period of four weeks from today, be
released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten
thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the
satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate, Chhapra, Saran in
connection with Rasulpur P.S. Case No. 60 of 2020 subject to
the conditions laid down under section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

So far as petitioner no. 1 is concerned, considering
the nature of allegations levelled against him which is
supported by the injuries sustained, this Court is not
inclined to grant him the privilege of anticipatory bail. His
prayer for anticipatory bail is, accordingly, rejected.

(Arvind Srivastava, J)

mcv/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--