

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16857 of 2021

=====

Shiv Dulari Devi W/o-Umesh Prasad Roy, R/o-Ward no. 07, Village-Sirdilpur,
P.O. and P.S.-Patory, District-Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Managing Director and CEO, Indian Bank, Head Office, 66 Rajaji Salai, P.B.No. 1384 Chennai, India.
2. The Zonal Manager, Indian bank, Muzaffarpur.
3. The Authorized officer, Indian Bank, Samastipur. Bihar.
4. The Chief Manager and Zonal Manager, Indian Bank, Zonal Office, 1st Floor, Govind Bhawan, New Dak Bunglow Road, Patna 800001.
5. The Branch Manager, Indian Bank, Patori.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Alok Ranjan, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr.Shiv Mohan Saha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 29-09-2021 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri

Shiv Mohan Saha, the learned counsel for the respondent- Bank.

The present petition has been filed seeking following
reliefs :-

*“(I) For writ in nature of certiorari for
quashing of the possession notice issued
by the respondent authorities dated
14.09.2021 and the same was pasted on
the boundary wall of the house of the
petitioner for an amount of Rs. 41,41,009/-
as on 14.09.2021 with further interest,
costs and other charges and expenses
thereon.*



(II) For directing and commanding the respondent authorities of the Bank not to take any coercive action against the petitioner as the petitioner is ready to repay the loan amount with the time prescribed at the time of grant of loan, in which 31 months still is left.

(III) For directing the respondent authorities Bank to allow the petitioner to repay their loan amount within the time as prescribed in the loan agreement.

(IV) For directing and commanding the respondent authorities to consider the matter sympathetically and wave the interest, as after investing huge amount in construction of godown and other expenses, the LPG license was not granted to the petitioner, for which the loan was taken as petitioner is poor, illiterate, lady and the small pieces of land are the source of livelihood for the petitioner. ”

At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to approach the respondent no. 2 for redressal of her aforesaid grievances.

The learned counsel for the respondent- Bank has got no objection.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the



case, the present writ petition stands disposed of as not pressed, however with liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondent no. 2 i.e. the Zonal Manager, Indian Bank, Muzaffarpur for redressal of her aforesaid grievances, which shall be considered sympathetically, in accordance with law.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

rinkee/-

U			
---	--	--	--

