

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6790 of 2021**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-151 Year-2018 Thana- PARASBIGHA District- Jehanabad

Rakesh Yadav @ Rakesh Kumar, S/o Late Ramanand Yadav, Resident of  
Village - Malichak, P.S. - Paras Bigha, District - Jehanabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Umesh Kumar, Advocate,  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dinesh Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA KUMAR  
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3      07-04-2021                      As prayed for, let the learned counsel appearing for the  
petitioner remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, within  
four weeks of starting of the Court proceeding in physical mode in  
normal course.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned  
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner is accused in connection with Sessions Trial  
No. 188 of 2019, arising out of Paras Bigha P.S. Case No. 151 of  
2018, registered under Section 304(B)/34 of the Indian Penal Code,  
pending in the court of 6th Additional Sessions Judge, Jehanabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner  
is the husband of the deceased and he is in custody since 04.09.2018  
but the trial of the petitioner has not been concluded as yet. Further  
submission is that the prayer for bail of the petitioner was earlier



rejected on merit vide order dated 24.01.2010 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 2344 of 2020 by this Court.

A report regarding the present stage of trial has been received through letter no. 128 dated 27.02.2021, from the court of 4<sup>th</sup> Additional Sessions Judge, Jehanabad, which shows that out of nine charge sheet witnesses, only three witnesses have been examined and six witnesses are yet to be examined and also expected that the trial of the petitioner is likely to be concluded within six months.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and the nature of allegation against the petitioner, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, his prayer for grant of bail stands rejected. However, the trial court is directed to conclude the trial of the petitioner within six months from today by taking all effective steps. If the trial of the petitioner is not concluded within the aforesaid period of six months, the petitioner would be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail.

**(Rajendra Kumar Mishra, J)**

Bhardwaj/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

