

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.4925 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-4 Year-2020 Thana- PATAHI District- East Champaran

RANJEET SAH @ RANJEET KUMAR SAH, S/o Biadeshwari Sah Resident
of Village- Rupani Pandey Tola, P.S.- Patahi, Dist- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ravindra Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 06-08-2021 Heard Mr. Ravindra Kumar, learned advocate

for the petitioner and Mr. Abhishek Kumar, learned

counsel for the informant. The State is represented by

Mr. Kalyan Shankar, learned APP.

The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his

arrest in connection with Patahi P. S. Case No. 04 of

2020, dated 04.01.2020, (G. R. No. 106 of 2020),

instituted for the offences under Sections 143, 341,

323, 324, 307, 354(B), 379 and 506 of the Indian

Penal Code.

It has been alleged in the F.I.R. that the

petitioner assaulted the son of the informant by means



of a *Farsa* and he repeated that blow. The wife of the informant was also assaulted by the petitioner.

The learned advocate for the petitioner has submitted that the accusation is absolutely incorrect. There is a counter version of the case also and the petitioner as well as his father and another have been injured in that occurrence. There is a Title Suit pending between the parties and they are also contesting a proceeding under Section 144 Cr.P.C. amongst themselves.

The son of the informant has received two injuries, one of which only has been found to be grievous but the other is simple in nature. With respect to the assessment of the nature of injury, the learned advocate for the petitioner has submitted that it is doubtful in as much as the injuries were treated at a different place and the case has been lodged after about a day of the occurrence.

Be that as it may, the learned counsel for the



informant has submitted that the petitioner has been granted the privilege of police bail and hence pressing this application may not be necessary.

In this view of the matter, this Court disposes off the application with a direction that in case the petitioner surrenders before the court below and seeks bail, all the grounds noted above shall be taken into account along with the fact that the petitioner has never misused the privilege of police bail, and an order shall be passed in accordance with law without being prejudiced by the fact that the present petition on his behalf has not been entertained by this Court.

The application stands disposed off accordingly.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

skm/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

