

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1922 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-370 Year-2020 Thana- BEGUSARAI MUFFASIL District-
Begusarai

=====

DINESH KUMAR SUMAN, aged about 46 years (Male), Son of Late Ramdeo Prasad Singh, Resident of Village and P.S.- Pasraha, District- Khagaria, presently residing Village- Hardaschak, P.O.- Koshi College, P.S.- Muffasil, District- Khagaria.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Mrityunjay Kumar, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party : Mr. A.G.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 24-05-2021 Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to remove the defects, as pointed out by the office, within a period of four weeks from the date of restoration of normalcy.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State through virtual mode.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case for the offence registered under Sections 290, 120(B) of the I.P.C. and 30(a) and 41(i) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise (Amendment) Act, 2018.

The prosecution story, in brief, is that total 774 liters wine is said to have been recovered from the Pick-up Van in question.



It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. There is no allegation of tampering with the witnesses alleged against the petitioner. The petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. It is alleged that total 774 liters wine is recovered from the Pick-up Van in question. The name of the petitioner has come in the present case as the petitioner is alleged to be the owner of the Pick-up Van in question. Except for this, there is no other substantive evidence to suggest the implication of the petitioner in this case. Nothing incriminating has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner had no knowledge regarding the nature of goods booked by the Transporter. There is no compliance of Section 100 Cr. P.C.

On behalf of the State, it is submitted that the petitioner is named in the F.I.R/ Complaint Case.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and also the lockdown, let the petitioner above named, in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of twelve weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on his personal bond to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Sessions Judge-II-cum-Special Judge, Excise



Act, Begusarai, in connection with Muffasil Singhaul P.S. Case No. 370 of 2020, G.R. No. 698 of 2020, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

Once the normalcy is restored, the petitioner shall furnish bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each within a period of eight weeks to the satisfaction of the court concerned in connection with the aforesaid case.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

U.K./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

