

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.41075 of 2020**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-113 Year-2018 Thana- MANJHAGARH District- Gopalganj

Krishna Yadav @ Neta Son of Brija Yadav Resident of Village Umar
Mathiya ,P.S. Manjhagarh District Gopalganj

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Roy, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Indu Kumari Srivastava, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER**

3 07-04-2021 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P for the State through video conferencing.

The petitioner has filed the instant application for grant of regular bail in connection with Manjhagarh P.S. Case no. 113 of 2018 registered under section 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

As per allegation in the F.I.R., while the informant who runs a jewellery shop was returning on a motorcycle along with his son, it is stated that three unknown persons on a motorcycle, snatched away the bag being carried by the informant, containing Rs.50,000/ in cash besides jewellery.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the F.I.R. was registered against unknown. The name of the petitioner transpired in the confessional statement of a co-accused made before the police. No incriminating article has



been recovered from the person or possession. He is in custody since 4.8.2018 but there is no chance of the trial concluding in the near future. It is further submitted that the informant who was examined as a prosecution witness claims to identify the petitioner but surprisingly did not name him in the F.I.R.

The application for bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State.

By order dated 10.3.2021 a report was called for with respect to the stage of trial. As per the report dated 22.3.2021 received from the Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Gopalganj, the case is pending for examination of defence witness on 27.3.2021.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and in view of the examination of the prosecution witnesses having come to an end, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and the same is rejected.

Learned trial Court is directed to conclude the trial expeditiously, preferably within two months of the end of the examination of defence witnesses.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Bibhash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

