

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.3911 of 2021**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-39 Year-2019 Thana- SC/ST District- Gaya

SHASHI BHUSHAN KUMAR @ RATNESH @ MINTU SINGH S/O
SATISH PRASAD SINGH R/O KARHARI, P.S. KESARIYA DISTRICT-
VAISHALI

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Patanjali Rishi, Adv.
Ms. Prerna Rishi, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Ms. Usha Kumari 1, Spl. P.P.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER**

4 01-12-2021

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The appellant who has been made an accused in a case registered under sections 420, 406 and 504 of the Indian Penal Code and under section 3 (1)(r)(s) of the S.C. and S.T. Act has renewed his prayer for bail.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the appellant that the earlier application for bail of the appellant was rejected vide order dated 8.1.2021 passed in Cr. Appeal (SJ) no.1795 of 2020. In spite of the appellant having remained in custody since 29.2.2020, there is no progress in the case in the learned court below.

On merits, it is submitted by learned counsel for the appellant that from the contents of the F.I.R. itself, the veracity



of the allegation appears to be suspect. Learned counsel takes the Court through various business transactions which have been taking place between the parties to show that there was regular transactions of money between the two.

The prayer for bail is opposed by learned Special P.P. appearing for the State.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and on going through the materials on record, it transpires that the prayer for bail of the appellant was rejected on merits by the earlier order dated 8.1.2021 (Annexure-P/1).

In the facts of the case, the Court is not inclined to enlarge the appellant on bail and the prayer is rejected.

Learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Saurabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

