

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1029 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-54 Year-2019 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Patna

=====

RIZWAN AKHTAR, Male, aged about 27 years, Son of Md. Ekram Ansari @
Md. Ekram, Resident of Village- Muslimabad, P.O.- Tarari, P.S.- Daudnagar,
District- Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vyas Kumar Mishra, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. A.G

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

3 13-12-2021 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

In this case, the petitioner apprehends his arrest in
connection with Patna Mahila P.S. Case No. 54 of 2019
registered for the offence punishable under sections 469, 354
(d), 509, 504 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code.

As per allegation, the petitioner developed intimacy
with the informant and when the marriage of the informant was
fixed, he made hindrance in the marriage by various ways. The
petitioner hacked her mobile data and after editing her



photographs, made it vulgarous and uploaded on social media, face-book, twitter etc.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in the Court of Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Daudnagar, Aurangabad, an informatary petition has been lodged by the petitioner prior to lodging of this case. The Xerox copy thereof has been annexed at page no. 24 and in paragraph no. 4, it has been mentioned that the victim threatened him to commit suicide and also to lodge the FIR and also she abused him.

Paragraph 17 of the case diary contains the supervision note of the Dy.S.P, which shows that during the course of investigation, it came to the light that when the petitioner heard the information of marriage of the victim, he became furious and he made viral, the photograph of the victim on the face-book, twitter etc., which shows his intention to mentally harass the victim making hindrance in her marriage.

Considering the facts and circumstances of this case, I do not think it a fit case for anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the prayer for bail is rejected.

Office shall ensure that all defects are removed by the petitioner within the stipulated time mentioned hereinabove,



failing which, the matter shall be brought to the notice of this
Court.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey , J)

Gaurav Kumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

