

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12880 of 2019

=====

Ajit Singh, aged about 59 years, Gender – Male, S/o Late Vijay Gopal,
Resident of Mohalla- Bahadurpur Housing Colony, Bhoothnath Road, P.S.-
Agamkuan, Distt.- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Education Department,
New Secretariat, Bihar, Patna
2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, New
Secretariat, Patna
3. The Director (Administration) cum Additional Secretary Education
Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
4. The Regional Deputy Director of Education Tirhut Pramandal Muzaffarpur

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ram Hriday Prasad, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Kameshwar Kumar, G.P.17
Mr.S.K. Ranjan, A.C. to G.P.17

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

4 13-01-2021 Heard the parties.

The present writ petition has been filed for the
following reliefs :-

For a direction to set aside the order of Director,
Administration cum Additional Secretary, Education
Department, Bihar, Patna dated 15.03.2019 (Annexure-9) by
which the prayer of payment of subsistence allowance of
petitioner from 14.07.2017 to 12.04.2018 has been rejected on
the ground of not making attendance during the said period. It is
further prayed to direct the respondents to pay the subsistence



allowance of petitioner from 14.07.2017 to 12.04.2018 and for grant of all consequential benefits.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, after some arguments, submits that he may be given liberty to file detailed representation before the respondent no.3.

In the aforesaid circumstances, the writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file a detailed representation before the respondent no.3 within a period of two weeks along with copy of the relevant documents and judgment/order. If such representation is filed, in support of the claim, the above respondent shall consider the claim of the petitioner as raised in the representation in accordance with law expeditiously, preferably within a period of 45 days.

It is made clear that respondent no.3 would be solely responsible for non-compliance of this Court's order within the stipulated period as aforesaid.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

Nasimul/-

U			
---	--	--	--

