

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1419 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-283 Year-2020 Thana- BHABHUA District- Kaimur (Bhabua)

Ajit Singh Son Of Chandrma Singh Resident Of Village - Itarhi, P.S.- Bhabua,
District - Kaimur At Bhabua

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Tribhuwan Narayan, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Akhileshwar Dayal, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

3 14-12-2021 Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to remove all the defects pointed out by the Stamp Reporter within four weeks after start of normal functioning of the Court.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Akhileshwar Dayal, learned APP for the State.

The petitioner in the present case is seeking pre-arrest bail in connection with Bhabua (Sonhan) P.S. Case No. 283 of 2020 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 149, 341, 323, 324, 354, 379, 504, 506, 307 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the prosecution story, the allegation against the petitioner is that he gave garasa blow on the head of the son of the informant who sustained injury. It is further alleged that when the informant went there, accused persons assaulted her and



snatched her Mangalsutra and locket of her son.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. It is submitted that prior to this case, the petitioner had lodged two cases against the husband of the informant and others.

Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for pre-arrest bail of the petitioner.

Having regard to the materials showing that this petitioner had used garasa and assaulted on the head of the son of the informant as also that the petitioner has got one criminal antecedent and the injury found on the head of the son of the informant supports the allegation, this Court is not inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has drawn the attention of this Court towards paragraph '11' of the application to show that prior to this case the petitioner had lodged two cases against the husband of the informant and others, as regards this, this Court is of the considered opinion that if the petitioner surrenders and prays for regular bail before the learned court below within a period of four weeks from today, his prayer for bail shall be considered keeping in view all the



circumstances including that the petitioner and the informant are said to be the co-sharers and both the parties are fighting against each other.

This application stands disposed of with the aforesaid observations.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

SUSHMA2/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

Note: The ordersheet duly signed has been attached with the record. However, in view of the present arrangements, during Pandemic period all concerned shall act on the basis of the copy of the order uploaded on the High Court website under the heading 'Judicial Orders Passed During The Pandemic Period'.

