

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.40548 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-359 Year-2016 Thana- NAUTAN District- West Champaran

MEERA DEVI W/O LATE RAMSAGAR PRASAD RESIDENT OF
VILLAGE-NAURANGA BAGH, WARD NO.27, P.S.-BETTIAH TOWN,
DISTRICT-WEST CHAMPARAN.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjeev Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.A.G

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 19-02-2021 Heard both parties.

The petitioner seeks bail in Nautan P.S. Case No. 359 of 2016, registered for the offence punishable under Section 420/406 of the Indian Penal Code and section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act.

This is a case of cheque bounce. Petitioner is alleged to have issued cheque of Rs. 3,50,000/- in the name of informant and when the informant posted the said cheque in the bank, the same was bounced due to insufficient fund.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. Petitioner has already returned all the amount to the informant except Rs. 35,000/- and the informant carries money lending business and



now he is putting pressure for the interest amount. Petitioner is a lady and is in custody since 06.01.2020. Chargesheet has already been submitted.

Considering the period of custody, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bettiah, West Champaran in connection with Nautan P.S. Case No. 359 of 2016, subject to following conditions:-

(i) The petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reasons, her bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the court below.

(ii) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

vinita/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

