

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37823 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-221 Year-2019 Thana- RIGA District- Sitamarhi

SUBH NARAYAN MAHTO Son of Ram Prasad Mahto Resident of Village -
Kanhauli, P.S. Kanhauli, District - Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Devendra Kumar, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Pranav Kumar, APP
For the informant	:	Mr. Manmohan Kumar & Mr. Ashok Kumar Sinha, Advocates

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

5 06-12-2021 Heard learned counsels for the petitioner and the

State.

Petitioner apprehends arrest in connection with
Riga P.S. Case No. 221 of 2019 registered for the offence
punishable under sections 341, 323, 307/34 of the Indian
Penal Code and section 27 of the Arms Act.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner
submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in this
case due to previous enmity. Petitioner being informant's
fufera father-in-law used to opposite the misdeeds of the
informant's husband.

Learned Addl. P.P. appearing for the State opposes
the prayer for bail and submits that petitioner is named

accused in the first information report and there is direct allegation against him of firing against him, which is supported by the injuries sustained. Petitioner, therefore, does not deserve to be granted the privilege of anticipatory bail.

Considering the nature of allegations levelled, the materials available on record and the submissions advanced on behalf of the parties, this Court does not find it to be a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. The same is, therefore, rejected.

(Arvind Srivastava, J)

mcv/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--