

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.51128 of 2021**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-324 Year-2020 Thana- GRIYAK District- Nalanda

=====

KRISHNA MURARI S/O KEDAR MISHRA R/o village- Rupasmahaji, P.S.-  
Saleempur, District- Patna, Pin Code- 803202 (Bihar)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Lalan Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ram Priya Sharan Singh, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      01-12-2021                      Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to remove all the defects as pointed out by office within four weeks after start of normal functioning of the Court.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Ram Priya Sharan Singh, learned A.P.P. for the State.

This is the second attempt of the petitioner to obtain bail in connection with Giriyak P.S. Case No.324 of 2020 registered for the offences punishable under Section 8/20(B)(ii)C/25/29 of the NDPS Act.

Earlier by a detailed order dated 25.03.2021 passed in Cr.Misc.No.39134/2020 this Court had refused to enlarge the petitioner on bail after noticing that his case comes within the rigours of Section 37 of the NDPS Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has informed that now the trial has begun and only one witness has been examined



so far.

Learned APP for the State submits that this application for bail has been filed within a short time after first rejection and now that the trial has already began the petitioner does not deserve privilege of bail.

Having regard to the materials already noticed by this Court in the previous order and the fact that the trial has already began in this case, this Court is not inclined to release the petitioner on bail at this stage.

Let the trial be expedited. The trial court is expected to fix the records on shorter dates and proceed with the matter without granting unnecessary adjournments. All endeavours be made to conclude the trial as early as possible and preferably within a period of nine months from the date of communication of this order.

If the trial remains unconcluded during this period for no reason attributable to the petitioner, he may renew his prayer for bail.

**(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)**

arvind/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

Note: The ordersheet duly signed has been attached with the record. However, in view of the present arrangements, during Pandemic period all concerned shall act on the basis of the copy of the order uploaded on the High Court website under the heading 'Judicial Orders Passed During The Pandemic Period'.

