

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37680 of 2020**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-195 Year-2019 Thana- MURLIGANJ District- Madhepura

MOHAN KUMAR SON OF GULAB CHANDRA MANDAL RESIDENT
OF VILLAGE - GANGAPUR, WARD NO 08, POLICE STATION -
MURALIGANJ, DISTRICT – MADHEPURA.

... .. Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party.

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Uday Chand Prasad, Advocate.
For the State : Mr. Lakshmi Kant Sharma, A.P.P.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA KUMAR
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 24-02-2021 As prayed for, let the learned counsel for the

petitioner remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office vide

its notes dated 21.12.2020, within four weeks of starting of the

Court proceeding in physical mode.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Murliganj
Police Station Case No.195 of 2019/Sessions Trial No.276 of
2019 registered under Sections 143, 341, 323, 324, 307, 379,
504, 506 and, later on, added Section 302 of the Indian Penal
Code, pending in the court of the Additional Sessions Judge-III,
Madhepura.

The accusation is that on hearing ‘Hullah’ about
causing assault to Sunita Devi, the sister of the informant Vibha



Devi, the informant and her husband reached at the house of Sunita Devi and saw the husband and in-laws of her sister Sunita Devi assaulting her. When Bablu Mandal (deceased), the husband of the informant, made intervention, then the petitioner Mohan Kumar caused injury at his head through Bamboo. At that time, informant and her Gotni Rekha Devi also received injuries.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that, earlier, vide order dated 04.03.2020 passed in Criminal Misc. No.71716 of 2019 by this Court, while the co-accused Gulab Chandra Manal was granted bail but the prayer of the petitioner to grant him bail was rejected. The petitioner is in custody since 04.07.2019.

Having regard to the facts and the circumstances of the case and also considering the nature of allegation against the petitioner, I am not inclined to reconsider the prayer of the petitioner to grant him bail. Accordingly, the prayer of the petitioner for grant of bail is again rejected.

(Rajendra Kumar Mishra, J)

P.S./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

