

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.842 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-2806 Year-2019 Thana- SARAN COMPLAINT CASE District-
Saran

=====

Prahlad Bansfor @ Prahlad Dom @ Orhlad Dome, (Male), aged about 31 years, Son Of Gorakh Bansfor, Resident Of Village Bazar Bhithi, Ps Baniyapur, District- Saran.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Rani Devi, (F), aged about 26 years, Wife Of Prahlad Bansfor @ Prahlad Dom, R/O Village-Bazar Bhithi, P.S-Baniyapur, District-Saran, At Present R/O Village-Karn Kudaria, P.S.-Mashrakh, District-Saran.

... .. Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr.Gajendra Kumar Singh, Advocate.
For the State : Mrs. Renu Kumari, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 22-06-2021 Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to remove the defects, as pointed out by the office, within a period of four weeks from the date of restoration of normalcy.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State through Virtual mode.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case for the offence registered under Sections 498(A) and 379 of the I.P.C.

The prosecution story, in brief, is that the accused persons including the petitioner tortured the victim due to non-fulfilment of demand of dowry.



It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. There is no allegation of tampering with the witnesses alleged against the petitioner. The petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case due to petty family dispute. The petitioner is the husband of the victim. The case is triable by the Magistrate. The petitioner has further relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar, reported in 2006(3) PLJR 182.

On behalf of the learned counsel for the State, it has been submitted that the petitioner is named in the F.I.R./ complaint case.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner above named, in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of twelve weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on his personal bond to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Chapra, in connection with Complaint Case No. 2806 of 2019, Tr. No. 454/19, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

Once the normalcy is restored, the petitioner shall



furnish bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand) only with two sureties of the like amount each within a period of eight weeks to the satisfaction of the court concerned in connection with the aforesaid case.

If so advised, either of the parties will be at liberty to make an application before the court below for referring the matter to the District Mediation Centre for the purpose of reconciliation or one time settlement. In case, any such application is made by either of the parties, the court below shall refer the matter to the District Mediation Centre.

The opposite party no. 2 will be at liberty to make an application before the concerned Family Court for the purpose of maintenance, if so advised.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

U.K./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

